BRAINIACS
BRAIN IMAGING AND COMPUTING SCIENCES
2026 Volume 7
Issue 2 Edoc NOF86C398

Let's Maintain Standards for Equitable Justice and Prevent Cyberpunk Failures*

Jialin Dong, Carl Taswell†

Abstract

Standards fail most dangerously when enforcement becomes predictably asymmetric. Political leaders, dominant firms, and wealthy elites can delay, dilute, purchase, or route around constraint, while ordinary people cannot. This report treats "maintaining standards for maintaining standards" as an institutional design problem: how to preserve equal protection as technology scales and power concentrates. It develops two core theses and one mechanism theorem. The first thesis defines equality before law as a measurable property of an enforcement feedback loop (detection, delay, bite, and attribution parity across power strata). The second thesis connects the failure of maintaining standards with technology advancement using the concept of cyberpunk. The concept of cyberpunk is a governance equilibrium in which rights degrade into access rights and law becomes tiered. The mechanism theorem links three properties to that equilibrium: indispensability, opacity, and exit.

The argument begins with contemporary institutional failures, then deepens its foundations through Nietzsche's diagnosis of legitimacy decay and moral camouflage; then connecting to religious teaching, the story in Genesis 18-19 functions as an interesting institutional thought experiment: justice constrains sovereignty through a prevalence threshold (ten righteous), and Genesis 19 records the threshold's failure and the resulting logic of extraction over reform; the proverb 近朱者赤近墨者黑 then formalizes the underlying mechanism as probabilistic moral drift under environmental selection pressure. A silicon closed-loop scenario anchored in reporting on SpaceX-xAI integration, orbital compute filings, and Tesla's robotics pivot that serves as a cyberpunk stress test. The report closes with an implementable meta-standards blueprint designed to address current inequality and prevent a cyberpunk drift by attacking the triad directly: anti-indispensability safeguards, reconstructible accountability, and anti-exit enforceability.

Keyphrases

Maintaining standards, equitable justice, cyberpunk failures, access rights, indispensable infrastructure, forensic reproducibility, reconstructible accountability.

Contents

Defining Equal Constraint 1
How Unequal Enforcement Begins 2
Nietzsche and the Erosion of Legitimacy 2
Bad Environments Overpower Good People 2
When Rights Become Access 3
The Silicon Closed Loop 3
Cyberpunk Failures Become Stable 3
Standards That Hold Power Accountable 4
No Authority Without Accountability 4
Citation 4
Affiliations 4

*Document created 2026-02-22, revised 2026-10-18.
†Correspondence to ctaswell@bhavi.us.

Defining Equal Constraint

This report is written for a simple, demanding objective: keep constraint universal even when power and technology are not. Two theses organize the argument. Thesis 1 (Symmetry of constraint): equality before law is a systems property of the enforcement loop. For comparable violations, power should not reliably buy lower detection, longer delay, weaker bite, or washed-out attribution. Thesis 2 (Cyberpunk as equilibrium): cyberpunk describes a stable institutional arrangement in which infrastructure owners exercise de facto sovereignty. Rights degrade into access rights, and public law becomes slower, thinner, and more class-contingent.

Mechanism theorem: when a socio-technical stack becomes indispensable to daily life, opaque to reconstruction, and able to route around constraint through jurisdictional, technical, or dependency-based exit, the expected cost of wrongdoing becomes stratified. The result is tiered enforceability, not because anyone abolishes law, but because remedies become politically infeasible, accountability becomes epistemically fragile, and constraint becomes negotiable for those who can exit.

Operational definition: the symmetry-of-constraint target is measured along four parity dimensions across defined power strata: detection parity (probability of discovery and recording), delay parity (time from detection to disposition), bite parity (sanction magnitude relative to resources and influence, including non-monetary sanctions), and attribution parity (rate at which culpable decision-makers are named

In View Open

 © 2026 BHA

and charged rather than only organizations paying).

How Unequal Enforcement Begins

The future is not the start of the problem. A society drifts toward tiered enforceability through three ordinary mechanisms.

First, resource-conditioned liberty. When process is expensive, rights become probabilistic. Cash bail and pretrial detention show how money changes freedom before guilt is adjudicated, and how detention pressures outcomes. Empirical work using judge randomization finds that imposing money bail increases conviction likelihood and later recidivism, highlighting fairness costs built into procedure. (Gupta et al. 2016)

Second, complexity-conditioned impunity. Large organizations can make wrongdoing hard to attribute. Negotiated settlements can be pragmatically attractive, yet they often substitute corporate payments for individual accountability. The public sees a result, but the loop's attribution stage does not bite.

Third, indispensability-conditioned exemption. Once a firm or system becomes "too big to fail" or "too big to jail," the state's remedy set narrows. Werle's analysis of Too-Big- to-Jail firms explains why prosecutors may rely on corporate settlements rather than credible threats of individual prosecution and structural reform. Indispensability becomes a bargaining chip, and bargaining becomes the enforcement style. (Werle 2019)

These mechanisms build environments. When people learn that rules are strict at the bottom and flexible at the top, corruption becomes rational and spreads.

Nietzsche and the Erosion of Legitimacy

Nietzsche is useful here as a theorist of legitimacy collapse. "God is dead" names a social condition: inherited moral authority loses credibility, while moral language persists. In that condition, norms can harden into symbols. Symbols are easy to weaponize because they can be announced loudly while being applied selectively. (Anderson 2023; Leiter 2024)

Three Nietzschean lenses sharpen the meta-standards problem. Ressentiment helps explain why moral talk can become a political technology. It can punish and rank without solving underlying power. Herd morality warns how institutions can reward conformity and appearance over substance, producing performative compliance. The will to power reminds us that standards live inside contest over control. If standards pretend to be neutral, the most resourced actors will still shape them. (Anderson 2023; Leiter 2024)

Genealogy becomes an institutional tool. A justification ledger forces standards to disclose their origins, assumptions, and distributional burdens. It prevents a common elite move in a legitimacy crisis: presenting preferred rules as objective necessity while engineering exceptions.

Nietzsche's deeper warning is that legitimacy is not stored in slogans. Legitimacy is produced by procedures that remain credible under disagreement. When shared foundations dissolve, societies do not become value-free. They become vulnerable to whoever can supply a compelling narrative and the infrastructure to enforce it. A meta-standards regime that aims at equality must therefore be designed to survive pluralism. It should treat contested values as a reason to strengthen transparency, appeals, and adversarial testing, not as a reason to declare that morality is impossible.

The will to power, read institutionally, is a reminder that standards are contested artifacts. A standard that denies power will be rewritten by power. Capture is not a moral aberration. It is the default direction of drift whenever oversight is weak and stakes are high. That is why the paper's "justification ledger" is not a philosophical ornament. It is a defensive technology. It forces each standard to expose its behavioral assumptions, its distribution of burdens, and the levers by which it can be gamed. It makes capture legible earlier, when it is still reversible.

Herd morality sharpens the same point. Large bureaucracies and platform-scale systems prefer metrics and uniform procedures. That preference is not evil. It is how institutions manage scale. Yet it creates a vulnerability: what is measurable starts to replace what is just. Standards drift from protecting rights to optimizing compliance scores. Over time, the institution learns to punish visible deviations more than invisible extraction. That is how tiered enforceability hides inside apparently neutral rules.

Ressentiment matters because it explains a common institutional trap: moral language becomes a substitute for capacity. When a group cannot reliably secure material remedies, it learns to seek symbolic victories. Institutions then reward denunciation, purity tests, and public confession. This produces compliance theater. It also creates a convenient script for elites. They can adopt the language of virtue while preserving the structure of advantage. The public sees moral intensity. The enforcement loop stays selective.

Bad Environments Overpower Good People

The story the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah in the book of Genesis has interesting echo with the spine of this paper. The Book of Genesis 18-19 opens with Abraham hosting three visitors near Mamre. As the visitors leave toward Sodom, God tells Abraham he will judge the city because the outcry against Sodom and Gomorrah is severe. Abraham challenges the justice of destroying the righteous with the wicked and bargains down a threshold: if 50, then 45, 40, 30, 20, or 10 righteous are found, the city will be spared; God agrees each time. Then, in Genesis 19, two angels arrive in Sodom and are taken in by Lot, Avraham's nephew who resided in Sodom. The men of the city surround the house and demand the visitors; the angels pull Lot inside and strike the crowd with blindness. The angels warn Lot to gather his family and flee because the city will be destroyed. Lot's sons-in-law dismiss the warning. At dawn Lot hesitates, and the angels physically lead Lot, his wife, and two daughters out, commanding them not to look back. Lot negotiates refuge in the small town Zoar. Then God rains fire and brimstone, destroying Sodom and Gomorrah; Lot's wife looks back and becomes a pillar of salt. Abraham later sees smoke rising from the plain. The text emphasizes that God "remembered Abraham" and saved Lot. (Sefaria n.d.)

Genesis 18 frames justice as a constraint on sovereign power and treats social "salvageability" as a threshold problem. Abraham does not argue that no one in Sodom is righteous. He argues about what a just decision procedure must protect, then negotiates a prevalence threshold: if ten righteous people can be found, the city should be spared. The logic is structural. A society can be governed by justice if integrity exists at a scale that can stabilize the environment.

Genesis 19 then supplies the outcome of that thought experiment. The city is not spared. Lot can be extracted, but even his household does not exit cleanly. He warns his sons-in- law, and they dismiss the warning and remain. Lot's wife looks back and does not survive. What escapes is not a righteous city but a minimal remnant. In narrative terms, Abraham's ten is not reached. In institutional terms, the city fails the prevalence test.

review open

This is what resonates with a Chinese traditional proverb 近朱者赤，近墨者黑 translated into English as "Near vermilion one becomes red; near ink one becomes black." It names drift under selection pressure. In a wicked environment, goodness is statistically fragile because local norms, incentives, and expectations penalize integrity and reward conformity to corruption. Even when good actors exist, the environment taxes goodness until it becomes rare. The story's outcome is consistent with that mechanism: the city's moral "ink" is thick enough that righteousness is not prevalent enough to stabilize the whole, and extraction becomes more realistic than reform.

That translation returns to the paper's spine. The real question is probabilities and prevalence. Standards and rule of law exist to build an enforcement loop that makes integrity a viable strategy at population scale. When enforcement becomes tiered, the environment stains. Corruption becomes rational, integrity becomes fragile, and the prevalence threshold collapses even if exceptions still exist.

Two takeaways follow for institutional design. First, justice is not merely a goal; it is a constraint on how power may act. Second, moral heroism does not substitute for prevalence. A society is not stabilized by the existence of exceptions if its environment continually selects against them. Once the environment becomes self-reinforcing, the realistic remedy shifts from reforming the whole to extracting what can be saved.

When Rights Become Access

Cyberpunk originated in the early 1980s as a sci-fi subgenre blending "high tech, low life," focusing on countercultural antiheroes in dystopian, corporation-dominated, digital futures (Britannica Editors n.d.). Since 1980s, it has been expressed in science fictions, sci-fi movies, and even video games. However, Cyberpunk is not neon style. It is a stable institutional arrangement. High capability coexists with low legitimacy because private infrastructure becomes the practical sovereign and public law becomes slow, thin, and class-contingent.

In this equilibrium, rights degrade along predictable pathways. Identity becomes enrollment. Mobility becomes subscription. Due process becomes a service level. Speech becomes platform compliance, governed by visibility systems and terms of service. Autonomy becomes a product feature, shaped by interfaces that nudge, rank, and default.

Cyberpunk names the end state of the mechanism theorem. It is the social form of tiered enforceability: rules everywhere, symmetric constraint nowhere.

Cyberpunk also has a distinctive failure of public accountability. When decisions are produced by models and enforced by systems, the political process struggles to identify who to blame and what to change. That confusion becomes a resource. It allows the powerful to demand deference on the grounds of complexity. Over time, politics becomes a contest over access rather than a contest over justice.

The class structure follows from control of chokepoints. An infrastructure-owning class captures rents and information. A dependent majority becomes legible to the system and governable through defaults and pricing. A third class emerges as a security-adjacent layer that maintains the stack and polices its boundaries. The result is not lawlessness. It is order with unequal recourse.

Cyberpunk can be specified as a rights regime. Formal rights remain on paper, but practical rights are replaced by access policies. Participation in society runs through privately governed chokepoints: identity, payments, communications, mobility, and employment pipelines. The key move is subtle. A citizen becomes a user. A right becomes a feature. A remedy becomes a customer support channel. This is why tiered law becomes stable. The infrastructure owner can grant, throttle, or revoke access faster than courts can adjudicate.

The Silicon Closed Loop

The technology section is a stress test. The argument does not depend on any one person's master plan. It depends on whether a vertically integrated stack can become indispensable, opaque, and able to exit constraint. Recent reporting provides enough signal to treat such a stack as plausible.

Reporting describes SpaceX combining with xAI and frames the tie-up as unifying AI and space ambitions (O'Kane 2026). Separate reporting describes SpaceX seeking FCC approval for a very large constellation positioned as solar-powered orbital data-center satellites for AI workloads (Roulette & Sanders 2026). Reporting also describes Tesla ending Model S/X production while shifting factory capacity toward humanoid robotics (Hawkins 2026). Taken together, these moves align with a closed-loop architecture that connects energy and manufacturing, compute and training, global connectivity, embodied actuators, ubiquitous sensing, and human interfaces.

The loop's growth logic is straightforward. Scale generates data. Data improves models. Better models improve execution. Better execution lowers costs and expands the deployed footprint. That expansion generates more data. The loop reinforces itself.

A second shift is epistemic. When the loop is self-improving, it also becomes self- justifying. Superior performance becomes a legitimacy argument. The system becomes harder to challenge because it can point to efficiency gains and safety improvements. Yet those gains do not automatically imply fairness. A society can be highly efficient and still be unjust. The enforcement loop must therefore be able to separate performance claims from rights constraints.

This compression changes bargaining dynamics. If the same ecosystem provides connectivity, compute, and the actuators that run factories and fleets, then disputes over standards are no longer about compliance alone. They become disputes over continuity. The infrastructure owner can credibly warn that aggressive enforcement risks disruption. That threat is often enough to move regulators from enforcement into negotiation, especially when the public is already dependent.

The closed loop matters because it unifies three kinds of power that used to be partly separate. It unifies informational power (what is seen and predicted), logistical power (what can be moved and when), and coercive-adjacent power (what physical actions can be executed at scale). In earlier eras, communications, finance, and physical enforcement were institutionally distinct. The loop compresses them into one operational stack.

Cyberpunk Failures Become Stable

Indispensability narrows the remedy set. When a stack mediates communications, logistics, and automated labor, enforcement begins to look like collective punishment. Regulators bargain because interruption feels politically unacceptable. This is immunity through continuity.

The main purpose of the proposal section is therefore precise. Each instrument is designed to keep the loop governable by public law: to preserve credible remedies, to make accountability reconstructible, and to close exit routes that convert power into immunity.

Exit is the final stabilizer. Once a powerful actor can relocate functions across jurisdictions, control what auditors can see, and negotiate

Preview

 © 2026 BHA

from a position of indispensability, constraint becomes a product that can be purchased. The public still experiences rules. The elite experiences bargains. That is cyberpunk drift in its institutional form.

Opacity does not only block courts. It changes the culture of accountability. When harms cannot be reconstructed, institutions retreat to proxies. They punish what is legible and ignore what is not. The result is perverse. Small actors become accountable because their actions are simple. Large actors become unaccountable because their actions are complex. This is a direct inversion of equal protection.

Indispensability does not merely narrow remedies. It reshapes politics. When citizens depend on a stack for work, mobility, and communication, they begin to fear enforcement. They do not defend elite impunity because they love elites. They defend continuity because they fear collapse. That is how a population can consent to tiered law without endorsing it. The loop converts public vulnerability into political leverage for the infrastructure owner.

Opacity breaks reconstructible accountability. Decisions are generated by models, distributed by networks, executed by machines, and updated continuously. Without forensic reproducibility, the legal system cannot reconstruct what happened, what version did it, and who had control. Complexity becomes functional privilege.

Exit becomes easier when the stack spans jurisdictions and controls telemetry. Jurisdiction shopping, proprietary audit gates, and dependency-driven settlements allow powerful actors to route around constraint. If auditability is permission-based, enforceability is already tiered.

The cyberpunk risk is therefore not machines rebelling. It is a governance phase change. Public law continues to exist, but practical governance migrates to infrastructure owners. Rights become access rights because the stack becomes the gateway to participation.

Standards That Hold Power Accountable

The proposal is designed to keep the cyberpunk equilibrium from becoming stable. Each instrument targets indispensability, opacity, or exit, and each instrument is designed to be auditable and resistant to capture.

Symmetry-of-Constraint Index: require agencies and courts to publish parity metrics across power strata for comparable violations, including detection, delay, bite, and attribution. Publish the normalization rules. The aim is to keep tiering visible and contestable.

Anti-delay rules: impose strict timelines for disclosure and disposition in cases involving high-power actors and critical infrastructures. Require public reasons for extensions, subject to later review.

Reviewable secrecy: national security and trade secrecy claims must be reviewable by independent bodies with authority to inspect.

Forensic reproducibility and independent audit trails: require model versioning, input/output logging, actuator command trails, and post-incident reconstruction. Audit rails cannot be controlled solely by the regulated party.

Attribution defaults: where organizational settlements occur, require a published theory of individual responsibility and an explanation when individuals are not charged. Courts should have authority to review deferred-prosecution agreements in high-impact cases.

Anti-indispensability safeguards: require interoperability and portability as legal rights. Set structural separation triggers when one actor controls too many layers. Create public- option layers for civic essentials.

Enforcement-substrate entrenchment: establish funding floors, protected technical staffing, and continuity requirements for critical oversight infrastructure.

Governance-lag gate: pause or phase deployment when enforcement readiness falls behind capability. Readiness is defined by reconstructible accountability, independent audit access, externality accounting, cross-jurisdiction supervisory maps, and enforcement resource parity.

No Authority Without Accountability

In the discussion of this report, Nietzsche's ideas are used to explain why standards become brittle in legitimacy crises and why moral language becomes camouflage. The story in Genesis 18-19 frames justice as a constraint on power and makes salvageability a prevalence question. 近朱者赤近墨者黑 explains why environments drift when constraints are tiered. The silicon closed loop is discussed as a stress test that concentrates indispensability, opacity, and exit into one plausible stack. Looking towards the future, Cyberpunk is the name of a failure that the society must prevent. It emerges when indispensable infrastructure becomes opaque and able to exit constraint, and when elites convert resources into immunity. Technological advancement is always appreciated, but with a precondition of not getting weaponized by the ultra-small group elites to overlook equality and erode or even control the society. Facing the present injustice and the potential injustice in the future, the institutional answer this report gives is a set of power-robust meta-standards that keep constraint universal. No individual should be granted authority for power without accountability for use of that power.

Citation

Brainiacs Journal 2026 Volume 7 Issue 2 Edoc N0F86C398.
Title: "Let's Maintain Standards for Equitable Justice and Prevent Cyberpunk Failures".
Authors: Jialin Dong, Carl Taswell.
Dates: created 2026-02-22, received 2026-03-04, updated 2026-09-30, published 2026-09-30, revised 2026-10-18
Copyright: © 2026 Brain Health Alliance
Contact: ctaswell@bhavi.us
NPDS: LINKS/Brainiacs/Dong2026LMSEJPCF
DOI: 10.48085/N0F86C398

Affiliations

Brain Health Alliance Virtual Institute, www.BHAVI.us, Ladera Ranch, California, USA.

References

[1] R. L. Anderson. "Friedrich Nietzsche." *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy Archive (Fall 2023 Edition)* (May 19, 2022). URL: https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2023/entries/nietzsche.

[2] "Cyberpunk." In: *Encyclopedia Britannica*. Ed. by Britannica Editors. Dec. 25, 2025. URL: https://www.britannica.com/art/cyberpunk.

[3] A. Gupta, C. Hansman, and E. Frenchman. *The Heavy Costs of High Bail: Evidence from Judge Randomization*. Columbia University. Aug. 18, 2016. URL: https://www.columbia.edu/~cjh2182/GuptaHansmanFrenchman.pdf.

Review Copy

[4] A. J. Hawkins. "Tesla says production-ready Optimus robot is coming soon." *The Verge* (Jan. 28, 2026). URL: https://www.theverge.com/transportation/869746/tesla-optimus-gen-3-q1-2026-earnings.

[5] B. Leiter. "Nietzsche's Moral and Political Philosophy." *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy Archive (Fall 2024 Edition)* (Sept. 5, 2024). URL: https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2024/entries/nietzsche-moral-political.

[6] S. O'Kane. "Elon Musk's SpaceX officially acquires Elon Musk's xAI, with plan to build data centers in space." *TechCrunch* (Feb. 2, 2026). URL: https://techcrunch.com/2026/02/02/elon-musk-spacex-acquires-xai-data-centers-space-merger.

[7] J. Roulette and C. Sanders. "SpaceX seeks FCC nod for solar-powered satellite data centers for AI." *Reuters* (Jan. 31, 2026). URL: https://www.reuters.com/business/aerospace-defense/spacex-seeks-fcc-nod-solar-powered-satellite-data-centers-ai-2026-01-31.

[8] The Sefaria Library, ed. *Genesis*. URL: https://www.sefaria.org/Genesis.18.1.

[9] N. Werle. "Prosecuting Corporate Crime when Firms Are Too Big to Jail:Investigation, Deterrence, and Judicial Review." *The Yale Law Journal* 128.5 (Mar. 28, 2019). URL: https://yalelawjournal.org/note/prosecuting-corporate-crime-when-firms-are-too-big-to-jail.

review open